

Compliance Declaration

Management Board and Supervisory Board of Telefónica Deutschland Holding AG (the "Company") have last issued a Compliance Declaration according to § 161 (1) of the German Stock Corporation Act on 11 February 2014. The present Compliance Declaration refers to the "German Corporate Governance Code" ("GCGC") as amended on 24 June 2014, published in the Federal Gazette on 30 September 2014.

Management Board and Supervisory Board of the Company hereby declare pursuant to sec. 161 para. 1 of the German Stock Corporation Act that since the issuance of the last compliance declaration the Company has complied, and will in the future comply, with the recommendations of the GCGC with the following exceptions:

1. While determining the total compensation, the Supervisory Board shall, according to 4.2.2, 2nd paragraph, sentence 3 GCGC, consider the relationship between the compensation of the Management Board and that of the senior management and the staff overall, particularly in terms of its development over time whereupon the Supervisory Board shall determine how senior managers and the relevant staff are to be differentiated. With regard to the board member service agreements which have been signed in July 2014 and have become effective in October following closing of the acquisition of E-Plus, the Supervisory Board has deviated from this recommendation. Since the Company did not have, prior to closing of the acquisition of E-Plus, sufficient information regarding the remuneration structure at E-Plus, it could not consider the relationship between the compensation of the Management Board and that of the senior management and the relevant staff because this also would have required information on the remuneration structure at E-Plus.
2. The recommendation in 4.2.3, 2nd paragraph, sentence 4 GCGC that both positive and negative developments shall be taken into account with respect to the structure of the variable remuneration components has not been and will not be followed. The Management Board and the Supervisory Board are of the opinion that the remuneration of the Management Board is nevertheless oriented towards a sustainable company development. The remuneration consists of fixed as well as of short- and long-term variable components. The relevant parameters for the determination of the variable remuneration are overall oriented towards sustainable development and structured in a way that they, as a whole, do not provide incentives for business decisions which are opposed to the interests of the Company.
3. In 4.2.3, 2nd paragraph, sentence 7 the GCGC recommends that the variable remuneration components shall relate to rigorous and relevant comparison parameters. A partial deviation from such recommendation has been and will be made. The amount of the

annual bonus depends to a small extent also on parameters regarding Telefónica S.A. In addition, a part of the long-term remuneration components is dependent on the Total Shareholder Return of the Telefónica S.A. shares (measured against relevant competitors of Telefónica S.A.). Furthermore, one Management Board member receives a share award under a restricted share plan which also comprises shares of Telefónica S.A. The Management Board and the Supervisory Board are of the opinion that no misdirected incentives are created thereby.

4. The GCGC recommends in 4.2.3, 2nd paragraph, sentence 6 that the amount of compensation shall be capped, both overall and for individual compensation components. This recommendation has been and will be partially deviated from as neither for the stock option program nor for the Deferred Bonus caps have been determined. By doing to, the Supervisory Board shall be granted the necessary room for manoeuvre to ensure the balance between short-term and long-term variable remuneration elements at any time. Furthermore, one of the board member service agreements does not provide for the exact amount of the Company's pension expenses. The Company has assumed the respective pension commitments from the Management Board member's former employer and continues them unchanged.
5. The recommendation in 4.2.3, 2nd paragraph, sentence 8 GCGC that forbids a retroactive change of performance objectives or comparison parameters has not been and will not be followed. The service contracts partially allow a retroactive change of the criteria for the variable remuneration. From the Management Board's and the Supervisory Board's view, this is necessary because the Company is active in an extremely volatile and innovative market environment, and a change of corporate strategy in the interest of a sustainable company development must also be possible within the calculation period for the variable remuneration components. Such changes of corporate strategy necessary with a view to reasonable company interests shall not be hindered or delayed as a result of monetary interests of the members of the Management Board. Thus, in particular the Supervisory Board is of the opinion that flexibility is required as to performance objectives and comparison parameters.
6. The GCGC recommends in 4.2.3, 3rd paragraph that, for pension schemes, the Supervisory Board shall establish the level of provision aimed for in each case - also considering the length of time for which the individual has been a Management Board member - and take into account the resulting annual and long-term expense for the company. This recommendation is deviated from. There are defined contribution commitments in place for the Management Board members of the Company which do not aim at a specific pension level, or the Company pays fixed amounts in order to build up private pension benefits. Therefore, with regard to the form of the pension commitments, the Supervisory Board does not refer to an aimed level of provision.

7. The recommendation in 4.2.5, 2nd paragraph GCGC that the compensation report shall also include information on the nature of fringe benefits provided by the Company has been and will only be followed partially. Furthermore the recommendation in 4.2.5 sentence 5 and 6 GCGC regarding the presentation of the remuneration of the management board, especially in accordance with the model schedule, is not followed. The general meeting on 5 October 2012 resolved pursuant to sec. 286 para. 5 German Commercial Code, to dispense with disclosure of the compensation of individual Management Board members for the period of 5 years. As long as such so-called “opt-out” resolution of the general meeting is in place, is not foreseen to comply with the presentation as recommended in 4.2.5 sentence 5 and 6 GCGC. Furthermore the fringe benefits provided by the Company are only disclosed to the extent they are provided to all Management Board members. Where fringe benefits are only provided to individual Management Board members, these are not shown. The Management Board and Supervisory Board take the view that the individualization involved in the disclosure of these individual benefits would contradict the resolution of the general meeting and anyway would represent too large an intrusion on the private sphere of the relevant Management Board members.
8. Pursuant to 5.4.1, 2nd paragraph GCGC the Supervisory Board shall specify concrete objectives regarding its composition, considering an age limit. Supervisory Board has resolved on concrete objectives regarding its composition, however without specifying a concrete objective regarding an age limit for Supervisory Board members. In the view of the Company, a fixed age limit for Supervisory Board members is not appropriate, since the ability to control and supervise the Management Board is not necessarily restricted by reaching a certain age. Rather it may be necessary where appropriate in the interest of the Company to appoint persons of advanced age with extensive experience even after they reach a particular age limit.
9. Notwithstanding the recommendation in 5.4.6, 1st paragraph, sentence 2 GCGC that the chair and membership in committees is also to be taken into account in the compensation of the Supervisory Board members, only the chair of the audit committee receives an additional compensation. The Company takes the view that this reasonably takes into account the current composition of the Supervisory Board.
10. The consolidated financial statements and the interim reports are made publicly accessible within the periods defined by law, yet possibly not within 90 days of the end of the financial year or 45 days of the end of the period under review (7.1.2 sentence 4 GCGC). Given the time required for a diligent preparation of financial statements and business reports, it is for the period after the closing of the acquisition of the E-Plus Group, for the time being, impossible to enter into commitments concerning earlier dates of publication.

13 / 14 October 2014

Management Board

Supervisory Board